



A STUDY ON REDD+ FUNDING FOR DRIVERS OF DEFORESTATION AND FOREST DEGRADATION AS WELL AS REFERENCE SCENARIOS FOR REDD+ IN AFRICA – NECTAR PROJECT

Ref.
29

Expert(s)	Country	Volume (md)	Amount* (€)	Beneficiary	Funding	Start date	End date	Partner(s)	Reference
Maden Le Crom, Olivier Bouyer	France	43	5,000 <i>*For the referred experts, within ONFI</i>	African negotiators to the UNFCCC	IEPF, via the IDDRI	Apr. 2010	Apr. 2010	n/a	romain.pirard@iddri.org +33 6 99 45 32 05

Detailed description of the project	Services provided
<p>The NECTAR program (Successful Climate Negotiation Throughout Africa or <i>Negociation Climat Pour Toute L’afrique Reussie</i> in French), funded by the IEPF (Institute of energy and environment of the Francophonie) aims to support African negotiators in negotiations on the future post-2012 climate regime. It includes technical support for the negotiation by disseminating technical notes.</p> <p>In this context, the NECTAR’s steering committee has requested a study on the mechanism known as "REDD+" (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and forest Degradation, including conservation, enhancement of forest carbon stocks and sustainable forest management), upstream of the climate conference in Mexico in December 2010.</p> <p>The objectives were to provide a rationale for negotiators to contribute proactively to negotiations on <i>after Copenhagen</i> on REDD+, with an update on the existing funding channels, on the concept of emissions reference scenarios determining the assessment of REDD+ outcomes and on the various causes of degradation and deforestation on the continent.</p> <p>The expected outcome was a report that can serve as a basis for developing a coherent and credible rationale.</p>	<p>1 / Review of the funding available to African countries on REDD+ (11 major donors and donors for 25 REDD+ projects identified in Africa).</p> <p>Sources: Public information platforms, information provided by the existing standards open to REDD+, information provided by the regulated carbon markets (under the Kyoto Protocol or regional or national legislation such as the Californian market), cross-checking with existing databases on forest carbon projects as well as studies conducted by research centers. A collection of specific information was also organized via contact experts in bilateral cooperation agencies (GTZ, DFID, JICA, AFD, etc.) and multilateral agencies (World Bank, UN-REDD, GEF Regional Banks, etc.) active on the matter, and among the REDD+ negotiators of the donor or beneficiary countries.</p> <p>2 / Identification of drivers of forest degradation and deforestation (localisation and quantification).</p> <p>3/ Identification and analysis of 14 modeling tools and other methods for the development of REDD+ reference scenarios.</p>